

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United.States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspb.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/914,421	01/22/2002	Arjun SelVakumar	IO-1015US	5380	
24923 7	590 07/07/2003				
PAUL S MADAN			EXAMINER		
-	SSMAN & SRIRAM, PC		NGO, H	NGO, HUNG V	
2603 AUGUSTA, SUITE 700 HOUSTON, TX 77057-1130					
HOUSTON, 12	X //05/-1130		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		/	2831		
		. /	DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003	.5	
	•	/			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s)

09/914,421

SelVakumar

Office Action Summary Examiner

Y

Hung V. Ngo

Art Unit 2831



The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 mailing date of this communication.	S SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM 36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
Status 1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	04-10-03
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) □ Th	nis action is non-final.
closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) X Claim(s) 1-41	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideratio
5)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-41</u>	is/are rejected.
	is/are objected to.
_	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement
Application Papers	
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are all accepted or bill objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) \square The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examine
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	
	ts have been received in Application No
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International *See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dom	
a) The translation of the foreign language prov	
	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6)

Application/Control Number: 09/914421

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-41 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takahashi.

Takahashi discloses a package (7), a mass (9) coupled to the package, the mass including an active region (top surface) spaced apart from the at least one point of attachment (bottom surface), one or more substantially rigid members or one or more resilient couplings (p1) for attaching the at least point on the surface to the package.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04-10-2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues (1) that Takahashi does not teach a rigid member used to create a resilent coupling, (2) that Takahashi does not disclose the mass having an active and passive region. The examiner disagrees. With respect to (1) and (2) it has been addressed in previous paragraphs.

4

Application/Control Number: 09/914421

Art Unit: 2831

7

Conclusion

Page 3

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Hung V. Ngo whose telephone number is (703) 308-7614. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 am to 06:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dean Reichard, can be reached on (703) 308-3682.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9318 (Before Final) or (703) 872-

9319 (After Final).

Application/Control Number: 09/914421

Page 4

Art Unit: 2831

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Hung V. Ngo

June 29, 2003

Hoo V Nge

HUNG V. NGO PRIMARY EXAMINER